

**COMPANY NAME** : Doğan Yayın Holding A.Ş.  
**DATE** : 11.12.2009  
**INITIAL ANNOUNCEMENT DATE** : 06.10.2009  
**SUBJECT** : Tax/Fine Notifications  
**CURRENT SUBJECT** : Developments on the case filed to obtain an injunction decision on cautionary attachment  
**DOC.NO.** : DYH-2009/239

As it is known, it has been publicized previously that the Tax Office has placed cautionary attachment on our stock issuer/investor accounts with the Central Registry Agency (CRA) and brokerage houses, 11 independent units of real estate and air and land vehicles, following their collateral demand (914.820.334,-TL) related with the tax/fine notifications served upon our Company for the years 2004, 2005 and 2006.

Accordingly, a cautionary attachment had been placed on our listed subsidiaries: Hürriyet Gazetecilik ve Matbaacılık A.Ş.' 66,56% of the share capital; Doğan Gazetecilik A.Ş.' 70,76% of share capital; Doğan Burda Dergi Yayıncılık ve Pazarlama A.Ş.' 44,89% of the share capital and on our unlisted subsidiary Doğan TV Holding A.Ş.' 53,93% of the share capital.

As of December 10, 2009 and based on the closing prices of Istanbul Stock Exchange's 2nd session, the total current value of our listed subsidiaries and all other shares mentioned above that were subject to cautionary attachment sums up to around 2 billion TL; exceeding the accrued public claim (914.820.334,-TL) by around 219%.

Our company had filed court cases in order to receive an injunction decision related to the cautionary attachment and revoke the excess cautionary attachment which was above the accrued public claims. As we were informed now, the court decisions were against our company. Necessary legal actions against these court decisions are followed. At present, since the cautionary attachment that had been placed is considered to be above the accrued public claims, the mentioned court decisions do not have an impact.

Just as a reminder, as it was publicized earlier; concluding that the Tax Office's collateral demand was "not in accordance" with the law, Council of State had issued an injunction decision in favor of our company regarding the collateral demand of the Halkali Tax Office and the "Court Decision" that had rejected the lawsuit filed by our company in order to obtain this injunction.